

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/664,962	09/22/2003		Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-7199	0756-7199 4946	
31780	7590	03/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERIC ROB	INSON			NGUYEN,	TUAN H	
PMB 955						
21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			2813			

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
10/664,962	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	·
Tuan H. Nguyen	2813	

	Tuan H. Nguyen	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contraction of t	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropringly set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Decision in the following rejection (s).		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
S. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .		•	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-41, 50-67</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	=		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
O. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
		Tuan H. Nguyen	Myon
		Tuan H. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2813	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The width ranges of the conductive barrier film and the wiring containing Cu as newly recited in the instant claims would raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.